Socioeconomic Inequality and English Language Learning Opportunities Among Secondary School Students in Uzbekistan: A Sociolinguistic Classroom Profile

Gulnoza Ahmadqulova

English Language Teacher and Head of the Foreign Languages Methodological Association, School No. 11, Guliston City Department of Preschool and School Education, Sirdaryo Region, Uzbekistan

Abstract

Socioeconomic background, geographical location, home language, and access to educational resources can influence students' opportunities to learn English. This paper presents a sociolinguistic classroom profile of 17 tenth-grade students aged 15–16 in a public school in Uzbekistan. It examines differences in students' access to English-language resources, digital technology, exposure to English outside the classroom, classroom participation, and speaking confidence. The paper uses a descriptive qualitative classroom-profile approach based on classroom observations and the author's pedagogical experience. No standardized language tests, questionnaires, interviews, or statistical analyses were conducted specifically for this paper; therefore, the observations are presented as contextual pedagogical information rather than generalizable empirical findings. The classroom profile suggests that students from relatively advantaged urban backgrounds may have greater access to smartphones, stable internet, online learning platforms, and English-language media. In contrast, some students from rural or lower-income households may have fewer opportunities to use digital resources and practice English outside school. The profile also highlights the multilingual nature of the classroom, where Uzbek is the dominant home language and some students also use Tajik or Russian. The paper argues that students' home languages should be viewed as linguistic resources rather than barriers. Differentiated instruction,

bilingual and visual scaffolding, structured speaking activities, collaborative learning, and accessible assessment can help reduce the effects of unequal learning opportunities. Understanding students' sociolinguistic and socioeconomic backgrounds can help teachers create more inclusive and equitable English language learning environments in Uzbekistan.

Keywords

sociolinguistics, socioeconomic inequality, English language learning, multilingualism, rural and urban students, digital access, classroom participation, inclusive education, Uzbekistan

Introduction

English has become increasingly important in Uzbekistan because of its connection with higher education, international communication, professional development, and employment opportunities. English is widely taught in public schools, yet students do not always have equal opportunities to develop their language skills. Their English learning experiences may be influenced by socioeconomic background, geographical location, home language, access to technology, and exposure to English outside the classroom.

Sociolinguistics examines the relationship between language and society. From a sociolinguistic perspective, language learning is not simply the process of memorizing vocabulary and grammatical rules. It is also connected with identity, culture, social relationships, and learners' experiences. Therefore, understanding students' social and linguistic backgrounds is important for effective language teaching. Fought (2011) explains that language is closely connected to ethnicity and identity. The way people use language can reflect their social and cultural backgrounds. When learners feel that their language, accent, or pronunciation is negatively judged, their confidence and motivation may be affected. Similarly, Baugh (2005) discusses linguistic profiling,

which refers to the process of making judgments about individuals based on their speech or language characteristics. These concepts are particularly relevant in multilingual educational environments. The Uzbek educational context is also linguistically diverse. Uzbek is the dominant language in many educational settings, while some students use Tajik or Russian at home. English, however, is generally learned as a foreign language and is not commonly used in students' everyday family environments. Consequently, students may have different levels of exposure to English depending on their family resources, location, and access to digital materials. Another important issue is the growing role of technology in language learning. Students who have regular access to smartphones, computers, stable internet, online platforms, and English-language media can encounter English beyond formal classroom instruction. Students with limited access to these resources may depend mainly on the English lessons provided at school. The present paper focuses on a tenth-grade class consisting of 17 students in a public school in Uzbekistan. It explores the sociolinguistic characteristics of these learners and considers how socioeconomic background, geographical location, home language, digital access, and classroom participation may influence their English learning opportunities.

Main Part. Sociolinguistic Classroom Profile

The target group consisted of 17 tenth-grade students aged 15–16 in a public school in Uzbekistan. The class included both male and female students. English was taught as a foreign language, and most learners spoke Uzbek as their first language. Some students also used Tajik or Russian at home. The learners demonstrated developing English language skills. Their reading and listening abilities were generally stronger than their speaking and writing skills. However, the students differed in their exposure to English outside school, access to technology, and confidence during classroom activities. For descriptive purposes, the learners can be considered in two broad profiles. The first

profile includes students from relatively advantaged urban backgrounds. These students may have greater access to smartphones, the internet, online learning platforms, and English-language content such as videos, music, games, and educational materials. They may also be more familiar with digital tools used for learning, including Google Docs, Kahoot, and Quizlet. The second profile includes students from rural or lower-income backgrounds. Some of these learners may have limited access to digital devices, stable internet connections, and English-language materials outside school. Consequently, their opportunities to practice English independently or interact with authentic English-language content may be more limited. These profiles should not be understood as fixed categories or as descriptions of every urban or rural student. Students within the same geographical or socioeconomic group can have very different experiences. The profiles are used only to identify possible differences in learning opportunities within the classroom.

Socioeconomic Inequality and Access to English

Socioeconomic background can influence students' access to educational resources. A learner who has a personal smartphone, computer, stable internet connection, and access to additional English-language materials may have more opportunities to practice English outside school. Digital resources can provide learners with exposure to vocabulary, pronunciation, listening materials, and different forms of authentic communication. English-language videos, music, games, online courses, digital dictionaries, and educational platforms can increase the amount of English that students encounter in their daily lives. However, not all students have the same access to these resources. Some rural students or students from lower-income households may have limited access to digital devices or unstable internet connections. For such learners, English exposure may be largely restricted to classroom instruction. This difference is important because limited exposure should not automatically be interpreted as limited

ability or motivation. A student may have strong learning potential but fewer opportunities to practice English outside school. Therefore, teachers should distinguish between students' actual language abilities and the resources available to them. Solano-Flores (2008) emphasizes the importance of considering sociolinguistic factors in multilingual assessment contexts. Similarly, English teachers should consider whether differences in student performance may partly reflect differences in access to learning opportunities. One practical response is to make the classroom an environment where essential learning resources are available to all students. Teachers can provide printed materials, shared classroom technology, offline learning activities, vocabulary resources, and teacher-guided practice. These approaches can help reduce the influence of unequal digital access.

Home Language and Multilingualism

Another important characteristic of the classroom is linguistic diversity. Most students speak Uzbek as their first language, while some students also use Tajik or Russian at home. As a result, some learners have experience managing communication across several languages. Multilingualism should not necessarily be considered a problem. Students' existing linguistic knowledge can support their learning of English. Kachru (1990) discusses the global spread and diverse functions of English and highlights the importance of understanding English beyond a single linguistic or cultural model. In a multilingual classroom, teachers can use students' existing linguistic resources strategically. For example, bilingual glossaries, translation when appropriate, visual explanations, and comparisons between languages can help students understand new vocabulary and concepts. For learners who have difficulty understanding complex English explanations, brief clarification in Uzbek can provide useful support. Similarly, students who use Tajik or Russian at home may benefit from opportunities to connect new English concepts with their existing linguistic knowledge. However, bilingual

support should not replace meaningful English communication. Its purpose should be to increase access to content and gradually help students become more independent users of English.

Speaking Confidence and Classroom Participation

Students' confidence in speaking English can also differ according to their previous exposure to the language. Learners who have more opportunities to watch English-language content, communicate online, or attend additional language-learning activities may feel more comfortable speaking English. In contrast, students whose English exposure is mostly limited to school may have fewer opportunities to practice speaking. They may hesitate to participate because they are afraid of making mistakes or being negatively evaluated by classmates. Language is closely connected to identity and social belonging. Fought (2011) emphasizes the relationship between language and identity, while Baugh (2005) demonstrates how judgments based on speech can contribute to linguistic discrimination. In the classroom, teachers should therefore create an environment where students feel safe to experiment with English. Lippi-Green (2004) discusses the relationship between language, ideology, and discrimination. This perspective is relevant to English language teaching because learners may have different accents, pronunciation patterns, and linguistic backgrounds. Teachers should help students develop clear and intelligible English without presenting one accent or pronunciation model as a measure of intelligence or social value. Several classroom practices can support speaking confidence. These include modeled dialogues, pair work, role-play, information-gap activities, storytelling, sentence starters, and preparation time before speaking. Such activities allow students to move gradually from controlled practice toward independent communication. Teachers should also monitor classroom interaction to ensure that a small group of confident students does not dominate discussions. Rotating group roles and providing every learner with structured

opportunities to speak can promote more balanced participation.

Pedagogical Strategies for Equitable Learning Opportunities

The sociolinguistic profile suggests several practical strategies for creating more equitable English learning opportunities. First, differentiated instruction can help teachers respond to different levels of confidence, exposure, and language ability. More confident learners can participate in debates, presentations, and independent projects, while learners who need additional support can receive sentence frames, vocabulary lists, models, visual aids, and guided practice. Second, bilingual and visual scaffolding can make English content more accessible. Picture dictionaries, vocabulary cards, diagrams, bilingual glossaries, labeled images, and graphic organizers can support students who have limited exposure to English. Third, structured communicative activities can provide students with meaningful opportunities to use English. Role-play, information-gap tasks, pair discussions, and short presentations can increase speaking practice without placing students under excessive pressure. Fourth, collaborative learning can help students learn from one another. Teachers can vary group membership, assign rotating roles, and encourage peer support. Such practices can also provide less confident learners with additional opportunities to participate. Fifth, accessible assessment is important. Students can demonstrate their learning through a combination of oral, written, project-based, and guided tasks. Assessment should measure the intended language skills while avoiding unnecessary barriers caused by unfamiliar formats or unequal access to technology. Gottlieb and Ernst-Slavit (2019) emphasize the importance of supporting academic language development in diverse classrooms. Their perspective reinforces the need for clear language expectations, appropriate scaffolding, and opportunities for learners to develop academic communication skills. Overall, equitable teaching does not mean giving every student exactly the same task or resource. Instead, it means providing learners with the support they need to reach common

learning goals. This approach recognizes that students may begin with different levels of access, experience, and confidence.

Conclusion

This paper examined the sociolinguistic classroom profile of 17 tenth-grade students in a public school in Uzbekistan. The analysis focused on socioeconomic background, geographical location, home language, access to technology, exposure to English, and classroom participation. The classroom profile suggests that students from relatively advantaged urban backgrounds may have greater access to English-language digital resources and more opportunities to practice English outside school. In contrast, some rural or lower-income students may have fewer opportunities to use digital devices, access stable internet, or interact with English-language materials beyond the classroom. These differences should not be interpreted as evidence of differences in students' intelligence, motivation, or potential. Instead, they demonstrate that students may have unequal learning opportunities. Schools and teachers can play an important role in reducing these inequalities by providing shared resources, offline materials, structured practice, and accessible learning activities. The multilingual nature of the classroom is another important consideration. Uzbek, Tajik, and Russian may form part of students' linguistic experiences, while English is learned as a foreign language. Students' home languages can be treated as resources that support English learning when they are used strategically. Bilingual glossaries, visual scaffolding, selective translation, and language comparisons can improve access to new content while maintaining meaningful opportunities for English communication. The paper also highlights the importance of speaking confidence and inclusive classroom participation. Teachers can support less confident learners through structured speaking activities, pair work, role-play, preparation time, supportive feedback, and gradually increased communicative demands. The main implication is that effective English language teaching requires

more than teaching grammar and vocabulary. Teachers need to understand the social and linguistic contexts in which students learn. Differentiated instruction, multilingual support, collaborative learning, and equitable assessment can help create classrooms where differences in socioeconomic background and access to resources do not become barriers to students' participation and development. Because this paper is based on a classroom profile rather than a formal empirical study, its observations cannot be generalized to all secondary school students in Uzbekistan. Future research could use questionnaires, interviews, standardized language assessments, and systematic classroom observations to investigate more systematically how socioeconomic status and learning opportunities are related to English language outcomes among secondary school students in Uzbekistan.

References

1. Baugh, J. (2005). Linguistic profiling. In S. Makoni, G. Smitherman, A. Ball, & A. Spears (Eds.), Black linguistics: Language, society and politics in Africa and the Americas (pp. 155–168). Routledge.

2. Fought, C. (2011). Language and ethnicity. In R. Wodak, B. Johnstone, & P. Kerswill (Eds.), The SAGE handbook of sociolinguistics (pp. 495–505). SAGE Publications.

3. Gottlieb, M., & Ernst-Slavit, G. (2019). Academic language in diverse classrooms: Promoting language and literacy in grades 6–12. Corwin Press.

4. Kachru, B. B. (1990). The alchemy of English: The spread, functions, and models of non-native Englishes. University of Illinois Press.

5. Lippi-Green, R. (2004). English with an accent: Language, ideology, and discrimination in the United States. Routledge.

6. Solano-Flores, G. (2008). The impact of sociolinguistic factors on assessment in multilingual contexts. Journal of Applied Linguistics, 9(3), 25–42.