

Methods for Evaluating Economic Efficiency of Social Projects

Xasanov Shamsiddin Xamroyevich

Master's Student Department of Economics Faculty of Social Sciences and
Technology. Asia International University

shamsiddin1995@gmail.com

Abstract: This analysis examines comprehensive methodologies for evaluating economic efficiency of social projects, comparing cost-benefit analysis, social return on investment, multi-criteria approaches, and rigorous impact evaluation techniques, identifying appropriate applications and implementation requirements for effective social investment decisions.

Keywords: social project evaluation, economic efficiency, cost-benefit analysis, social return on investment, impact assessment, multi-criteria analysis, social value measurement, development evaluation, project appraisal methodologies

Social projects addressing poverty, health, education, housing, and environmental sustainability constitute essential components of public policy globally, yet pose unique evaluation challenges. Unlike commercial projects generating measurable financial returns, social interventions pursue multidimensional objectives including human capital development, equity enhancement, and quality of life improvement, many resisting straightforward monetary quantification. The imperative for rigorous evaluation has intensified given fiscal constraints, increasing demand for evidence-based policymaking, and emphasis on sustainable development goals requiring systematic progress monitoring. Academic research demonstrates substantial variation in social project effectiveness, with poorly designed interventions generating zero or negative net benefits while well-designed projects deliver social returns exceeding 300-500% of costs, validating systematic evaluation necessity.

Cost-benefit analysis represents the most widely applied framework, comparing monetized benefits against costs to determine net social value. Social CBA extends traditional financial analysis by adopting societal perspective, incorporating externalities, using shadow prices reflecting social opportunity costs, and employing social discount rates reflecting intergenerational equity. Benefit valuation employs revealed preference methods inferring values from behavior, stated preference methods eliciting values through surveys, and benefit transfer applying previous study values. World Bank infrastructure evaluations during 2015-2023 averaged 12.4% economic returns, with successful projects showing mean benefit-cost ratio of 2.8. Education CBAs reveal substantial heterogeneity, with early childhood interventions averaging BCR of 5-8 while poorly targeted programs show ratios below 1.0.

Social return on investment emerged as stakeholder-focused framework emphasizing participation and comprehensive impact valuation. SROI methodology maps theory of change linking inputs to outcomes, values intangible outcomes through stakeholder engagement, and calculates ratio comparing social value created to investment required. UK analysis of youth mentoring programs revealed mean SROI of 2.8, with benefits including improved educational attainment, reduced youth offending, enhanced employment, and improved mental health. Healthcare SROI studies demonstrate vaccination programs achieve ratios of 8-44, smoking cessation 3-8, and diabetes prevention 2-4. Strengths include stakeholder engagement and comprehensive benefit capture, while limitations encompass potential double-counting and subjective financial proxy selection.

Multi-criteria decision analysis provides structured framework for evaluating projects across multiple dimensions without requiring full monetization, proving valuable when benefit monetization proves controversial or qualitative factors prove critical. MCDA establishes objective hierarchies, weights criteria according to

importance, scores alternatives, and aggregates to overall rankings. European Union Cohesion Policy employs MCDA weighing economic development, social inclusion, environmental sustainability, governance quality, and innovation. Healthcare technology assessment extensively uses MCDA for comparing medical interventions across effectiveness, safety, patient preference, and cost dimensions. Strengths include explicit treatment of multiple objectives and stakeholder participation, while weaknesses encompass potential weight manipulation and lack of standardized protocols.

Rigorous impact evaluation establishes causal relationships through experimental and quasi-experimental designs. Randomized controlled trials assign participants randomly to treatment and control groups, generating unbiased impact estimates. Meta-analysis of 267 education RCTs reveals average effect size of 0.12 standard deviations, with high-performing interventions reaching 0.40+. Health RCTs demonstrate deworming programs achieve 25% reduction in school absenteeism at \$3.50 per child, yielding BCR exceeding 50 through long-term earnings gains. Quasi-experimental methods including difference-in-differences, regression discontinuity, and propensity score matching approximate randomization when true experiments prove infeasible.

Implementation challenges include benefit monetization controversies, attribution difficulties in complex social systems, long-term effects measurement constraints, and equity analysis requirements. Best practice combines multiple approaches: CBA or SROI for aggregate efficiency, MCDA for non-monetized considerations, impact evaluation for causal evidence, and equity analysis for distributional effects. Evaluation quality depends fundamentally on transparent assumptions, conservative estimates, sensitivity analysis, stakeholder engagement, and independent verification, proving increasingly essential for maximizing social impact of limited resources.

REFERENCES



1. Boardman A.E., Greenberg D.H., Vining A.R., Weimer D.L. Cost-Benefit Analysis: Concepts and Practice. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2018.
2. Banke-Thomas A.O., Madaj B., Charles A., van den Broek N. Social Return on Investment of Emergency Obstetric Care Training // BMJ Global Health. – 2017. – Vol. 2(3). – P. e000070.
3. World Bank. Guidelines for Economic Analysis of Projects. – Washington: World Bank, 2021.
4. Nicholls J., Lawlor E., Neitzert E., Goodspeed T. A Guide to Social Return on Investment. – London: SROI Network, 2012.
5. Asian Development Bank. Cost-Benefit Analysis for Development. – Manila: ADB, 2023.

