

## Electronic Invoicing Systems in International Tax Practice: Legal Foundations and National Implementation Models

*Javokhir Tilaganboev*

*Tashkent State University of Law*

*Lecturer, Department of English Law and European Union Law*

[tilaganboevjavokhir@gmail.com](mailto:tilaganboevjavokhir@gmail.com)

### Abstract

This thesis analyzes the rapid integration of electronic invoicing (e-invoicing) systems and Continuous Transaction Controls (CTC) into global tax administration, evaluating their legal, ethical, and regulatory implications. As governments worldwide transition from retrospective auditing to proactive digital compliance, e-invoicing has become a fundamental pillar of international tax enforcement. The study meticulously examines the foundational legal frameworks established by the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) and juxtaposes them against the strict data privacy requirements of the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), highlighting the "transparency paradox" inherent in automated tax supervision. Furthermore, the document provides an in-depth comparative analysis of major implementation architectures, including the European Union's VAT in the Digital Age (ViDA) reforms, the centralized clearance models of Latin America (Brazil and Mexico), and the phased integrations in the Middle East and Asia (Saudi Arabia and India). Special attention is devoted to the Republic of Uzbekistan's "SoliqOnline" ecosystem and its newly launched 2026 real-time risk-scoring platform, demonstrating how emerging economies are leveraging automated data analytics to guarantee budget revenues, mitigate the shadow economy, and navigate the complex administrative impacts on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs).

**Keywords:** *Electronic Invoicing, Continuous Transaction Controls (CTC), Tax Transparency, GDPR, VAT in the Digital Age (ViDA), Peppol, SoliqOnline.*

### **Introduction: The Evolution of Tax Administration in the Digital Age**

Tax administration occupies a central role in ensuring macroeconomic stability, funding public services, and maintaining effective state governance. In recent years, the globalization of trade networks, the increasing complexity of cross-border operations, and the explosive growth of the digital platform economy have exposed the severe limitations of traditional, paper-based taxation mechanisms. Faced with mounting challenges in detecting corporate tax evasion and sophisticated fraud schemes, global governments are decisively abandoning retrospective post-audit checks in favor of real-time, continuous digital monitoring systems (Peppol, 2025).

The cornerstone of this modern compliance architecture is the mandatory implementation of electronic invoicing (e-invoicing). No longer merely a tool for digitizing paper documents, e-invoicing has evolved into a fundamental legal and technological instrument for combating the shadow economy and guaranteeing tax revenues. Globally, more than 80 countries have implemented or announced mandates for compulsory e-invoicing adoption, a shift that is projected to encompass tens of millions of enterprises and hundreds of millions of users over the next five years (Peppol, 2025). Within the European Union alone, this digital transition is forecast to yield €111 billion in additional Value-Added Tax (VAT) revenue and generate €41 billion in business cost savings over a ten-year horizon (Peppol, 2025).

### **International Legal Foundations of Electronic Commerce and Invoicing**

For electronic invoices to carry legal weight and be universally recognized across jurisdictions, a robust international legal foundation is paramount. This foundation rests primarily on the harmonized legislative frameworks developed by the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL).

### *UNCITRAL Model Laws and the Doctrine of Functional Equivalence*

The advent of information and communication technologies necessitated global rules to regulate the use of electronic means in international trade. The UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce (MLEC), adopted in 1996, established the foundational architecture for paperless trade (UNCITRAL, 1996). The MLEC introduced three core principles: non-discrimination, functional equivalence, and technological neutrality (UNCITRAL, 1996).

According to the MLEC, information cannot be denied legal validity, effect, or enforceability solely on the grounds that it is in the form of an electronic data message (UNCITRAL, 1996). Through the principle of “functional equivalence,” the law guarantees that electronic documents can fulfill the traditional purposes of “written,” “original,” and “signed” paper documents (UNCITRAL, 1996).

This framework was further solidified by the 2001 UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Signatures (MLES), which established criteria for the technical reliability and legal equivalence of electronic and hand-written signatures (UNCITRAL, 2001). Upholding technological neutrality, the MLES ensured that cryptography-based digital signatures (such as Public Key Infrastructure) and other electronic authentication methods received equal legal recognition, thereby providing the necessary security apparatus for valid e-invoicing ecosystems (UNCITRAL, 2001).

### *The Fundamental Tension: Data Privacy vs. Tax Transparency*

The mass collection and real-time processing of highly sensitive commercial data by tax authorities directly conflict with international data protection frameworks, most notably the European Union’s General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

In digital tax administration, data serves as the critical “fuel” for algorithmic profiling and automated decision-making (Scarcella, 2019). Tax authorities utilize e-invoicing data to cluster taxpayers and assign risk scores. However, Article 22 of the GDPR grants individuals the fundamental right not to be subjected to decisions based solely on automated processing, including profiling, which produces legal effects concerning them (Scarcella, 2019). Legal scholarship highlights a severe “transparency paradox” in this domain: while the GDPR mandates safeguards such as the right to human intervention and the right to an explanation, tax administrations frequently refuse to disclose the precise logic of their fraud-detection algorithms to prevent tax evaders from circumventing the system (Scarcella, 2019).

Furthermore, the economic burden of these stringent privacy compliance frameworks is substantial. Empirical research from the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (2024) indicates that following the implementation of the GDPR, EU firms were forced to decrease data storage by 26% and data processing by 15% compared to US counterparts, effectively increasing the internal operational cost of data management by 20%. Balancing the state’s sovereign right to secure tax revenues against the fundamental right to data privacy remains an unresolved challenge in global fiscal policy.

### **E-Invoicing Architectures and Implementation Models**

Global e-invoicing systems are not uniform; they vary fundamentally based on a country’s tax policy, digital infrastructure, and specific enforcement goals. These systems can be categorized into three dominant architectural models: Post-Audit, Clearance, and Decentralized Exchange.

#### *The Post-Audit Model*

Historically prevalent in Europe, North America, and parts of Africa, the Post-Audit model allows electronic invoices to circulate directly between the supplier and the

buyer (peer-to-peer) without real-time intervention or validation by the tax authority (Fonoa, 2025). Governments only scrutinize these transactions retrospectively through periodic tax returns or physical audits (Fonoa, 2025). While this model offers businesses maximum operational flexibility and minimizes immediate IT compliance costs, it deprives tax authorities of real-time visibility, allowing the VAT gap and shadow economy transactions to persist undetected for extended periods.

#### *Continuous Transaction Controls (CTC) and the Clearance Model*

To combat the inefficiencies of post-audit systems, many governments have pivoted to Continuous Transaction Controls (CTC). The strictest iteration of CTC is the Clearance Model, pioneered by Latin American nations. Under this regime, an electronic invoice must be submitted to and validated by the government's central tax platform (or an authorized third-party provider) before it can be legally issued to the buyer (Fonoa, 2025; Invoice Data Extraction, 2023). The system instantly checks the document's legal requisites, mathematical accuracy, and digital signature integrity. Only upon successful validation does the invoice receive a cryptographic stamp or a unique identifier, rendering fraud nearly impossible at the point of transaction.

#### *The Decentralized DCTCE Model and the Peppol Network*

As an alternative to monolithic, government-controlled centralized servers, the Decentralized Continuous Transaction Controls and Exchange (DCTCE) model is gaining rapid global traction, driven largely by the Peppol (Pan-European Public Procurement OnLine) network (Peppol, 2025).

Unlike the traditional 4-corner business-to-business exchange, Peppol utilizes a 5-corner framework that seamlessly integrates the tax authority (Peppol, 2025). In this model, certified private service providers (Access Points) act as secure data conduits between the buyer and seller, while simultaneously transmitting the required tax data to the government according to strictly defined legal parameters (Peppol, 2025). This

interoperable model profoundly facilitates cross-border trade by standardizing syntax across multiple jurisdictions. The network's influence is expanding rapidly, highlighted by its 2025 alliance with the Global Exchange Network Association (GENA) to create an Enhanced B2B Domain, and its increasing adoption in Asia-Pacific nations like Japan, Australia, and Singapore (Peppol, 2025).

*Regional Harmonization: The EU's VAT in the Digital Age (ViDA)*

The most extensive and complex transformation of e-invoicing globally is currently unfolding within the European Union through the “VAT in the Digital Age” (ViDA) legislative package. Designed to modernize VAT administration and combat an estimated €99 billion annual VAT gap — of which €11 billion is attributed to cross-border intra-EU fraud — ViDA was officially approved by the EU Council on March 11, 2025 (OpenText, 2025; PwC, 2025).

ViDA is built upon a phased, multi-year roadmap that mandates a shift to real-time digital reporting and standardized e-invoicing (OpenText, 2025). The core pillar, Digital Reporting Requirements (DRR), dictates that by July 2030, e-invoicing will become the mandatory default system for all intra-community B2B supplies and transactions subject to the reverse charge mechanism (PwC, 2025; Edicom, 2025). Crucially, these e-invoices must be issued within a strict 10-day window following the supply of goods or services, replacing fragmented periodic reporting (Edicom, 2025).

To ensure technical harmony, the EU has mandated the use of the revised EN16931 e-invoicing standard (Deloitte, 2025). In a landmark regulatory shift, ViDA officially removes the “buyer acceptance” principle; buyers can no longer refuse to receive structured electronic invoices, legally forcing universal market adoption (OpenText, 2025). To accommodate states that already invested in their own domestic clearance systems prior to 2024 (such as Italy's SDI), the EU has granted a transitional

period until January 1, 2035, by which time all legacy platforms must be fully harmonized with the central EU standards (Edicom, 2025).

### **Global Case Studies: Latin America, the Middle East, and Asia**

Beyond Europe, jurisdictions across the globe have adopted highly customized e-invoicing models dictated by their unique economic challenges and digital readiness.

#### *Latin America: Absolute Centralization in Brazil and Mexico*

Latin America remains the global vanguard of the Clearance model, initially adopting CTCs to combat hyperinflation and systemic tax evasion.

*Brazil:* Brazil's framework is anchored in the "Ajuste SINIEF 07/05" agreement, effective since 2005, which instituted the *Nota Fiscal Eletrônica (NF-e)* (Marcelo, 2008). The NF-e mandates that all B2B transactions be digitally signed using a corporate identifier (CNPJ) and cleared through the tax authority in real-time. By 2010, the government strictly mandated the inclusion of specific product classification codes (NCM) within the XML files, ensuring granular oversight of every commercial item sold.

*Mexico:* Mexico's CFDI (Comprobante Fiscal Digital por Internet) system, mandatory since 2011, is exceptionally comprehensive, applying universally to B2B, B2C, B2G, export, and even employee payroll transactions without any turnover exemptions (Invoice Data Extraction, 2023; Vertex, 2025). Utilizing a three-party clearance mechanism, taxpayers submit XML files to certified providers (PACs) for digital stamping before real-time transmission to the Federal Tax Authority (SAT). Each validated CFDI receives an immutable UUID (Folio Fiscal), which is legally required for any tax deduction or audit trail (Invoice Data Extraction, 2023). Recent 2026 tax reforms have further tightened controls, granting SAT fast-track procedures to instantly flag false CFDIs and imposing severe penalties ranging from 5% to 10% of the invoice value for non-compliance (Vertex, 2025; KPMG, 2025).

*Middle East and Asia: Phased Integration Models*

*Saudi Arabia:* The Zakat, Tax and Customs Authority (ZATCA) launched the “Fatoora” system using a highly structured, phased rollout. Phase 1 (2021) required the local digital generation of invoices, while Phase 2 (Integration), ongoing since 2023, requires direct connection to ZATCA’s API (Edicom, 2025). This integration phase is segmented by revenue; for example, businesses with revenues over 1 million SAR were mandated to connect by January 2026, dropping to 350,000 SAR by June 2026 (Edicom, 2025). The model innovatively utilizes pre-clearance for B2B transactions, while allowing a 24-hour retrospective e-reporting window for B2C transactions to maintain retail speed (The Invoicing Hub, 2025).

*India:* India implemented its e-invoicing mandate through an Invoice Registration Portal (IRP) within its Goods and Services Tax (GST) framework. Businesses must report B2B invoices in JSON format to the IRP to receive a unique Invoice Reference Number (IRN) and a QR code (ClearTax, 2023). Under Rule 48(4) of the GST law, an invoice issued without a valid IRN is legally invalid, exposing the issuer to penalties and denying the buyer their Input Tax Credit (ITC) (ClearTax, 2023). To ensure prompt reporting, large enterprises are restricted to reporting B2B invoices to the IRP strictly within 30 days of generation (ClearTax, 2023).

**The Republic of Uzbekistan: National Model and Real-Time Risk Scoring**

The Republic of Uzbekistan stands as a leader in digital tax modernization within the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS). E-invoicing has been mandatory for B2B and B2G transactions since January 1, 2020, operating primarily through the centralized “SoliqOnline” platform and authorized operators like Uzasbo Faktura (Voxel, 2024; Thomson Reuters, 2025).

The national architecture requires structured JSON files and mandatory digital signatures (e-signatures) from both the supplier and the buyer to achieve legal validity

(Voxel, 2024). Recent legislative updates have fine-tunes this framework; per Resolution No. 168 (March 2025), specific sectors with continuous supply chains, such as utilities and railway transport, are permitted to issue e-invoices by the 10th day of the following month, ensuring operational flexibility while maintaining strict digital records (Thomson Reuters, 2025).

Simultaneously, the digital data infrastructure has empowered broader macroeconomic reforms. In alignment with WTO agreements, Uzbekistan abolished the 0% corporate income tax (CIT) rate and exclusive export privileges for goods and services effective January 1, 2025, integrating these revenues back into the standard turnover tax base (PwC, 2025). The government also leveraged the transparency of SoliqOnline to recalibrate taxes in the booming digital economy; starting in 2026, the CIT for e-commerce and marketplaces will rise from 10% to 15%, while turnover tax increases from 3% to 4% (PwC, 2025).

However, the most transformative leap in Uzbekistan's tax administration is the official launch of the automated, real-time e-invoice risk scoring system on January 1, 2026 (Shared Services Link, 2025). Developed with international expertise, this AI-driven platform analyzes every generated e-invoice against complex criteria—including compliance history, economic indicators, and counterparty traits—assigning an immediate risk level (Shared Services Link, 2025). If an invoice is flagged as high-risk (“red”), the buyer's right to claim a VAT credit is automatically blocked until the VAT amount is securely paid into the state budget (Shared Services Link, 2025). Low-risk (“green”) invoices proceed seamlessly. This proactive filtering represents a paradigm shift from periodic auditing to continuous, algorithmic supervision, effectively paralyzing VAT fraud before it materializes.

### **Economic Compliance and the Microeconomic Impact on SMEs**

The macroeconomic benefits of mandatory e-invoicing are thoroughly documented in global scholarship. In the Republic of Korea, the implementation of the Electronic Tax Invoicing (ETI) system drastically improved transaction transparency; the adoption rate jumped from 15% to 99.8% in its first year (World Bank, 2016). Utilizing ETI data, Korea's Early Warning System (EWS) triggered 6,822 fraud verifications, leading to the recovery of KRW 1,187 billion in tax levies, while simultaneously saving businesses an estimated KRW 978 billion in administrative costs (World Bank, 2016). Similarly, empirical studies on China's fully digitalized "fapiao" reform demonstrate that the transition significantly curtailed tax evasion, raising the effective tax rate of firms by 0.91 percentage points as companies were forced to symmetrically adjust reported revenues and costs (Tao & Li, 2026).

Conversely, qualitative research highlights severe microeconomic friction, particularly for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs). A 2025 study commissioned by the UK's HM Revenue and Customs (HMRC) revealed deep-seated resistance to e-invoicing among SMEs (HMRC, 2026). The research highlighted three primary barriers:

**Incompatibility Concerns:** SMEs fear that advanced e-invoicing formats will be rejected by legacy suppliers or overseas clients, forcing them to run expensive, complex "multiple systems" simultaneously (HMRC, 2026).

**Loss of Customization and Control:** Small businesses worry that automated XML data removes the "human" element of tailored PDF invoices and strips them of control over the tone and timing of payment collections (HMRC, 2026).

**Financial Constraints:** Due to tight cash flow and high IT training costs, SMEs are highly reluctant to abandon "tried-and-tested" manual methods unless faced with an absolute legal mandate (HMRC, 2026). These findings emphasize that successful global e-invoicing rollouts require governments to provide robust technical support, free access

portals, and extended transition periods to prevent severe disruptions to the SME ecosystem.

### **Conclusion**

The transition toward mandatory electronic invoicing and Continuous Transaction Controls represents a point of no return in international tax administration. Driven by the need to close massive VAT gaps and secure reliable state revenues, jurisdictions globally are discarding retrospective post-audit models in favor of real-time, data-driven clearance and decentralized network architectures. The legal foundations established by UNCITRAL have successfully legitimized digital trade, yet the aggressive ingestion of transactional data by tax authorities continues to strain against international privacy standards like the GDPR, highlighting an ongoing governance challenge.

Regional initiatives, such as the EU's ViDA package, underscore the absolute necessity of interoperable standards to facilitate seamless cross-border commerce. Meanwhile, the rigorous centralized models deployed in Latin America and the sophisticated real-time risk scoring algorithms newly launched in Uzbekistan demonstrate the immense fiscal power of embedding tax compliance directly into the daily operational heartbeat of the economy. Ultimately, for these advanced systems to succeed without stifling economic growth, policymakers must strike a delicate balance: maximizing the algorithmic detection of fraud while actively mitigating the profound technological and administrative burdens placed upon the small and medium-sized enterprises that form the backbone of the global economy.

### Bibliography:

1. ClearTax (2023) *E-Invoicing under GST*. Available at: <https://cleartax.in/s/e-invoicing-gst>.
2. Deloitte (2025) *ViDA: Digital Reporting Requirements*.  
<https://www.deloitte.com/se/sv/services/tax/blogs/vida-digital-reporting.html>.
3. Edicom (2025) *Saudi Arabia makes electronic invoicing mandatory*.  
<https://edicomgroup.com/blog/saudi-arabia-makes-electronic-invoicing-mandatory>.
4. Edicom (2025) *ViDA: The European Union promotes B2B electronic invoicing*.  
<https://edicomgroup.com/blog/vida-the-european-union-promotes-b2b-electronic-invoicing>.
5. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (2024) 'Working Paper 2024-02', *Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago Publications*.  
<https://www.chicagofed.org/publications/working-papers/2024/2024-02>.
6. Fonoa (2025) *Post-Audit vs. Clearance E-invoice Mandate Models*.  
<https://www.fonoa.com/resources/blog/post-audit-vs-clearance-e-invoice-mandate-models>.
7. HM Revenue and Customs (HMRC) (2026) *Electronic invoicing: qualitative research into small and medium-sized enterprises' usage and attitudes*.  
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/electronic-invoicing-sme-usage-and-attitudes/electronic-invoicing-qualitative-research-into-small-and-medium-sized-enterprises-usage-and-attitudes>.
8. Tao, R., & Li, J. (2026). *Does electronic invoicing lead to stronger tax compliance? Evidence from China*. *PLOS ONE*, 21(4), e0331880.  
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC13095105/>.
9. Invoice Data Extraction (2023) *Mexico CFDI Electronic Invoicing Guide*.  
<https://invoicedataextraction.com/blog/mexico-cfdi-electronic-invoicing-guide>.

10. KPMG (2025) *Mexico: Updates to electronic invoicing (CFDI) included in 2026 tax reform*. <https://kpmg.com/us/en/taxnewsflash/news/2025/11/mexico-updates-electronic-invoicing-cfdi-2026-tax-reform.html>.
11. Lee, H. (2016) 'Policy Research Working Paper 7592', *World Bank Group*. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstreams/88d81ba1-aed8-572f-bb52-4b46a8244adf/download>.
12. Marcelo, F. (2008). *La factura electrónica*. CIAT General Assembly. [https://www.ciat.org/Biblioteca/AsambleasGenerales/2008/Ingles/guatemala41\\_2008\\_topic\\_2.1\\_fisco\\_brasil.pdf](https://www.ciat.org/Biblioteca/AsambleasGenerales/2008/Ingles/guatemala41_2008_topic_2.1_fisco_brasil.pdf)
13. OpenText (2025) *ViDA: Electronic Invoicing in Europe*. <https://www.openbee.com/en/news/vida-electronic-invoicing-in-europe/>.
14. Peppol (2025) *The global shift to eInvoicing*. <https://peppol.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/10/The-global-shift-to-eInvoicing.pdf>.
15. PwC (2025) *Digital Reporting and E-invoicing in the EU*. <https://www.pwc.com/mt/en/publications/vat/digital-reporting-and-e-invoicing-in-the-eu.html>.
16. PwC (2025) *Republic of Uzbekistan: Corporate - Significant developments*. <https://taxsummaries.pwc.com/republic-of-uzbekistan/corporate/significant-developments>.
17. Scarcella, L. (2019) 'Tax compliance and privacy rights in profiling and automated decision-making', *Internet Policy Review*. <https://policyreview.info/articles/analysis/tax-compliance-and-privacy-rights-profiling-and-automated-decision-making>.
18. Shared Services Link (2025) *Uzbekistan launches real-time e-invoice risk scoring*. <https://sharedserviceslink.com/news/uzbekistan-launches-real-time-e-invoice-risk-scoring-a-new-frontier-in-digital-tax-transformation>.

19. The Invoicing Hub (2025) *ZATCA E-invoicing Mandate in Saudi Arabia: The Complete Guide*. <https://www.theinvoicinghub.com/zatca-e-invoicing-mandate-in-saudi-arabia-the-complete-guide/>.
20. Thomson Reuters (2025) *Uzbekistan electronic invoicing system updates*. <https://europe.thomsonreuters.com/compliance/regulatory-updates/uzbekistan>.
21. UNCITRAL (1996) *Model Law on Electronic Commerce*. [https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts/ecommerce/modellaw/electronic\\_commerce](https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts/ecommerce/modellaw/electronic_commerce).
22. UNCITRAL (2001) *Model Law on Electronic Signatures*. [https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts/ecommerce/modellaw/electronic\\_signatures](https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts/ecommerce/modellaw/electronic_signatures).
23. Vertex (2025) *Mexico's e-invoicing regulations explained*.: <https://www.vertexinc.com/resources/resource-library/mexicos-e-invoicing-regulations-explained-scope-formats-and-penalties>.
24. Voxel (2024) *Electronic invoicing guide Uzbekistan*. <https://www.voxelgroup.net/compliance/guides/uzbekistan/>.